

1
2
3
4
5
6 **THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM**

7 WASHINGTON D.C. SEATTLE CITY
8 MANUFACTURING INC., JAYDEEN
9 CATHERINE DELA CRUZ (Pro Se),

10 Plaintiffs,

11 vs.

12 BANK OF GUAM SANTA CRUZ BRANCH,
13 BANK PACIFIC ASPINALL LANE
14 HAGATNA, BANK OF HAWAII 2ND
15 FLOOR OF BOH BLDG., FIRST HAWAIIAN
16 BANK MAITE BRANCH,

17 Defendants.

CIVIL CASE NO. 18-00038

ORDER

18 Before the court is the U.S. Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. *See* ECF
19 No. 15. Therein, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this court deny the application to
20 proceed in forma pauperis. *Id.* More importantly, the Magistrate Judge recommends that this
21 court grant Defendant Bank of Hawaii's motion to dismiss. *Id.* This court has no diversity
22 jurisdiction over the amended complaint. Moreover, the amended complaint was amended six
23 times prior to the issuance of the Report and Recommendation and yet, it fails to state any valid
24 claim upon which relief can be granted against Defendants. *Id.*

Plaintiffs failed to timely object to the Report and Recommendation. However, Plaintiffs
filed another amended complaint on August 9, 2019, after the Report and Recommendation was

1 issued. *See* ECF No. 16. Similar to the previous amended complaints filed by Plaintiffs, the
2 seventh amended complaint fails to state a cognizable claim for relief. It also lacks complete
3 diversity.

4 Accordingly, for the reasons stated herein and in the Report and Recommendation, this
5 case is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

6 **SO ORDERED.**



/s/ Frances M. Tydingco-Gatewood
Chief Judge
Dated: Aug 29, 2019